CRC 11+11A DT 10-25

CRC Communications of Maine, Inc.

\$5

Exhibit No. CRC-11

CLEC Issues List Created by Liberty Consulting

11: Original List Produced by Liberty 11-A: Original List Sorted and Formatted by CRC

Trina Bragdon

| From: | Charles King [charlesking@optonline.net] |
|--------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Sent: | Tuesday, May 11, 2010 5:36 PM |
| То: | frpclecs@lists.verizonbusiness.com |
| Cc: | Bob Falcone; 'Amanda Noonan'; 'Amy Spelke'; 'Andy Hagler'; 'David Goyette'; 'Derek Davidson'; 'Jim Porter'; 'Kate Bailey '; 'Michael Ladam'; 'Rich Kania'; 'Tamera Pariseau ' |
| Subject: | CLEC Issues List |
| Attachmonter | |

Attachments: CLEC Issues 05-11-10 Updated.xls

Dear CLEC

We want to express our great appreciation to you for the time and effort many of you took on our April 22 and April 29 calls and through subsequent emails to explain to us your current issues with FairPoint's wholesale systems and processes. Attached is the final version of the spreadsheet we prepared listing these issues, incorporating your latest feedback to us since our second call on April 29.

We have discussed this list with the state staff members, and they plan as a next step to present this list of issues to FairPoint, asking the company to confirm the issues and outline the steps they are taking or planning to take to address them. Since many of the issues require a systems solution, the state staffs plan to address them initially with FairPoint's IT group.

After FairPoint's initial response, Liberty will likely discuss the issues in detail with the company. Given the length of time many of these issues have lasted and their frequent recurrence even after they are supposedly "fixed," we would like to determine the extent to which FairPoint has correctly determined the root cause of the issues and the effectiveness of FairPoint's testing of software changes. For this purpose, Liberty will request that you provide some specific examples of your issues to use in working with FairPoint. We've found these examples to be very valuable in similar investigations in the past.

We will keep you informed of the status of this process and any further information we might need from you.

Thank you again.

Chuck King The Liberty Consulting Group

| Topic area | Issue description |
|---------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Loss of Functionality | FairPoint does not provide the ability for the CLECs to access their own BANs for Directory Listings |
| 221 | For more complex accounts and for all government accounts (local, state and federal), CSI requests don't always return the information requested. CLECs are |
| CSI | required to follow manual CSI process to obtain this information. |
| | The data that the CLECs receive in response to a CSI often does not agree with the |
| CSI | CSI information FairPoint's service representatives have access to. Besides the return of faulty data, there is also a parity concern with this problem. Cannot verify a caption listing. Inquiries time out or only return single-listing |
| Directory Listings | information. |
| Directory Listings | Cannot determine what LEC owns a customer's listing. Verizon's systems gave the CLECs the ability to pull information on their CABS |
| Loss of Functionality | accounts, FairPoint does not offer this functionality. It takes 3-5 days to pull the information using the SPOC or help desk. |
| | There is a lack of feature detail on the customer's account. (e.g., no call forwarding detail, hunting missing or unclear, no features, no listings. FairPoint fixed the |
| CSI | hunting problem but it has resurfaced again.) |
| Systems | Pre-ordering inquiries frequently time out before returning a response. |
| | Completed order data is not reflected on the CSI. FairPoint takes too long to |
| | update CSI information as a result of service order activity. FairPoint indicates that |
| CSI | this will be done within 10 days, but that often does not happen. (See related directory listings issue in Ordering & Provisioning.) |
| | When a CLEC cannot obtain a loop qual by address, FairPoint's manual |
| | investigation process can take up to a week to return the requested information. |
| Loop Qual | With Verizon responses were returned within 48 hours. |
| | Missing addresses in the system take FairPoint as long as two week to fix. In |
| | addition to new construction situations, these missing addresses often occur at |
| Addresses | locations where there is alreay working service. |
| Directory Listings | Dual name ID does not work, FairPoint's response only returns a single name. |
| Loss of Functionality | CLECs cannot pull Design Layout Reports. They were able to do this with Verizon |
| Directory Listings | All directory responses indicate caption listing even in cases where the account has a single line listing. |
| Loss of Functionality | CSI responses no longer reflect cost information or contract lengths. |
| , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , | Automated loop qual responses are often inaccurate (estimate about 30-35% of the |
| | time). Additionally, manual loop inquiry responses are also often inaccurate |
| Loop Qual | (estimate 20-25% of the time). |
| Directory Listings | Caption listings will not return packet information. |
| CSI | Ported TN often reflect that they are still with FairPoint. |
| | FairPoint continues to promise to clean-up its database discrepancy problems |
| Data Problems | which cause many of the CLECs issues. Progress is questionable. |
| Loss of Functionality | FairPoint does not provide any explanation why a loop does not qualify as Verizon did. |
| | FairPoint had implemented a fix to return to CLECs information indicating that a |
| | remote terminal is in use. However, this functionality has been lost again within the |
| Loop Qual | past month. |
| CSI | Resale CSIs missing key information such as listing and feature information |
| Directory Listings | DLI only returns a single listing even in cases where the customer has multiple |
| CSI | listings. Often the returned listing is not the main listing. ALI codes are missing from information returned |
| | |

,*

* 1 - 12 12

| Loss of Functionality Loop Qual Manual Requests CSI | Cannot obtain multiple TNs on a single CSI, each TN needs to be polled individually. Loop lengths returned are often incorrect Responses to manual requests are not timely CSIs are often not available |
|--------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Dark Fiber Documentation CSI | Responses to dark fiber inquires are not timely and there is not standard acceptance criteria for dark fiber. VFO documentation is poor Cannot pull CSIs for analog circuits with pseudo TNs CLECs would like to have FairPoint develop a standard response for manual loop qualification inquires, providing all of the information the CLEC requires (e.g., loop |
| Loss of Functionality CSI | length, PARTS RT). Currently, CLECs receive a screen shot of a email response from FairPoint's engineering department. CSIs rarely carry any CFA. If it appears, it contains only first four digits, making it hard to reconcile bill to inventory |

,#

žv

| Topic area | Issue description |
|--------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Loss of Functionality | Unable to delete a BAN listing. Must ask FairPoint to do manually |
| | Unable to determine the status of an order in the system for late or JEP |
| Loss of Functionality | orders; must rely on the SPOC or ROC. |
| Loss of Functionality | Unable to get accurate DEMARC information. Verizon used to provide |
| Loss of Functionality | this data through eWPTS. |
| Directory Listing Orders | Listing orders often error as "listing does not exist" even in cases where it clearly does exist. |
| | LSRs often drop out of the flow through process and nobody at FairPoint |
| | does anything about them until the CLECs bring them to FairPoint's |
| Manual Processing | attention. |
| Systems | System fixes for one problem often cause other problems. |
| | Orders that reject for system problems will often not be addressed by |
| Manual Processing | FairPoint until the CLEC open a WHD ticket on the order. CLECs do not |
| Manual Tocessing | get a reject notice; the order just sits in ACK/ACPT state. |
| Systems | The manual work that the CLECs must do to "baby sit" FairPoint's |
| | systems has greatly driven up the CLECs' cost of doing business. Some CLECs find it necessary to expend the resources to create daily |
| | spreadsheets for their SPOC on all outstanding orders. Manual order |
| Systems | tracking is a huge time waster for the CLECs |
| | Related PON orders are not being worked concurrently, causing service |
| Related PONS | outages |
| | RPON orders need to be announced and SPOC needs to be instructed |
| Related PONS | to "babysit" the orders all the way through the process. |
| Systems | Systems errors occur too frequently, requiring CLECs to resubmit orders. |
| Oysterns | This occurs at least once per day. |
| Systems | System error messages to the CLECs don't always reflect what the |
| , | problem is that would allow the CLEC to take action to fix it. Supplemental orders receive a FOC; however, the order is worked on the |
| SUPPs | original due date, often causing service outages. |
| | Orders for circuit disconnects are not worked in a timely fashion by |
| | FairPoint and are not implemented on the FOC due date. CI FCs |
| Disconnect Orders | continue to be billed for service beyond the disconnect due date |
| | Resale conversions involving two CLECs result in a service outage 100% |
| CLEC-to-CLEC Conversions | of the time. This also happens with conversions from retail to resale but |
| | not as frequently. |
| | CLECs receive multiple FOCs on circuit-type orders, with susequent FOCs often changing the circuit ID or requiring a dispatch when the first |
| Multiple FOCs | FOC indicated a cut through. |
| | Hot cut process has improved but it is still very manual. eWPTS-based |
| Hot Cuts | process with Verizon was much better. |
| | ROC will issue a JEP on an order for lack of facilities, yet order will |
| Drasses | continue to be worked by other FairPoint organizations, causing service |
| Process | outages. This happens often on CLEC-to-CLEC hot cuts. |
| | Frinnenus system error "Not oble to create revenue to the term |
| Systems | Erroneous system error "Not able to create new customer in M6," which was fixed, has returned with FairPoint's 3/18 systems "upgrade." |
| - | Provisioning plan for NID moves does not include a dispatch step, |
| NID Moves | causing service outages. |
| Manual Processing | Manual orders often miss critical information such as circuit IDs |
| Quet | CLECs often cannot order service to a location where FairPoint has |
| Systems | previously provisioned service. |
| | |

ı.

Intervals offered by FairPoint are much longer than those that were offered by Verizon. Intervals offered are longer than FairPoint's published Order Intervals standard intervals. FairPoint's field technicians provide the CLEC's customers with inaccurate Other information, which typically reflects poorly on the CLECs Order is complete on FOC date and PCN/BCN are received yet no work was done. These orders seem to flow through FairPoint's systems without getting the required work accomplished. This happens an estimated 40% False PCN & BCN of the time. FairPoint's performance reports are incorrect and misleading as a result Performance Reporting of problems such as the false PCN/BCN issue. Directory listing often comes back with "no existing listing" on ported TNs. **Directory Listing Orders** This happens with both JB-V and JB-N order types. ASR Often get errors for incorrect CCNA when the information is correct. New loop orders are often coming through as cut through. The SPOC used to work these to make sure they were dispatched, but now CLECs are being told that they "must trust the systems," which requires the CLECs to issue a tag-and-locate order after the original order completes. Systems Hot Cuts FairPoint is not providing timely PCNs or BCNs on hot cut orders CFA change orders are getting worked ahead of the due date, causing CFA Change service outages. Cannot migrate the directory listing with a hot cut order. Request results **Directory Listing Orders** in a "no DL to migrate" error. Published interval is not being followed for disconnect orders. The orders get a FOC with the published interval due date but the orders are Order Intervals generally not worked on that date. When orders need to be delayed due to an overload of repair problems. FairPoint cannot tell the CLECs which orders it will be delaying, Process preventing them from notifying their customers of the delay. Many tag-and-locate orders are needed because FairPoint is not working the original order properly. This often results in a vendor meet to resolve False PCN & BCN issues. SPOCs do not provide timely responses to inquiries/problems. Many Process SPOCs do not have full systems access. Directory listings are not updated in a timely manner after receipt of the BCN. FairPoint's manual update process also takes too long. Because of continued problems with directory listing updates, CLECs are required to manually check all their DL orders after completion to verify that the **Directory Listing Orders** updates have been made. (See related CSI issue in Pre-order.) TNs and addresses missing from FairPoint's systems. It takes FairPoint Missing data too long to update systems once notified of these problems. For missing addresses Verizon would return a range of valid house Loss of Functionality numbers, FairPoint does not provide this functionality. Line loss reports to the CLECs are not always accurate or not always Line Loss Reports sent. This can cause customers to be double billed. FairPoint does not provide installation notices preventing the CLECs from Process giving acceptance notices Complex Orders All complex orders result in a JEP, requiring manual follow-up Some CLECs request longer intervals because they don't trust FairPoint Intervals to make the standard interval

| Systems | M6 errors prevent the rescheduling of a due date because the order is "stuck" |
|----------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Process Process/Records | FairPoint will issue artificial completion notices so that a repair dispatch can be made to fix a problem with the physical provisioning of the order. ForSPUNE/SWUNE conversions performed before cutover, no record of the disconnected SA circuit exists in FairPoint's database, leading field techs to believe it is an out-of-service circuit. Techs have used these pairs for new services, resulting in loss of service on existing circuits. |
| SUPPs | Same Day SUPPs on Directory orders returns a response that the order has already been worked. The SPOCs have indicated that these orders are getting worked early, preventing the CLEC's an ability to SUPP the order. |
| Loss of Functionality | Orders reject for a partial port of an account with hunting. To get around this, the end-user customer has to open a ticket with FairPoint to remove hunting. The CLEC can only request porting after the ticket closes, delaying the porting and causing the customer to be without hunting for approximately 7 or 8 business days. |

ú

| Topic area | |
|----------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| i opic alea | Issue description |
| Payments | CLEC bill payments are often either misapplied or are not applied at all. This has been a continual problem since cutover and has not gotten any better. Occurs about 50% of the time. |
| Disconnects | Disconnect orders are not getting worked on time or circuits are physically disconnected but CLECs continue to get billed for them. When the orders are worked FairPoint does not prorate the credits correctly. Estimate is that this is occurring 25% of the time. |
| Disconnects | Disconnects worked in January '09, prior to cutover, were not credited back to the disconnect date. FairPoint is requiring Verizon FOC from the CLECs to provide this credit. One CLEC still has 30 of these orders still in dispute with FairPoint. |
| Disconnects | Resale disconnects of complex services such as ISDN, Centrex and analog circuit with pseudo TNs are physically disconnected but billing of the circuit never stops. For resale service when the BTN of a multi-line account gets ported from the |
| Disconnects | but continues to bill the CLEC for the BTN that was ported away. When FairPoint concurs with a dispute on a disconnect, the bill credit often dece |
| Dispute Resolution | dispute |
| Disconnects Incorrect Billing | CLECs receive BCNs and PCNs for disconnect orders but billing of the circuit doesn't stop unless the CLEC calls the problem to FairPoint's attention. CLECs continue to get bills for accounts that belong to other CLECs. |
| Loss of Functionality | get from Verizon |
| Incorrect billing | Retail customers that ported their service to a CLEC are still getting billed by FairPoint. This goes as far back as a customer who ported away from FairPoint in August '09. |
| Incorrect billing | Accounts that are not PICed to a carrier are still getting billed by FairPoint as if the account is PICed to that carrier. |
| Loss of Functionality | FairPoint continues to provide multiple DUF files rather than a single file, preventing the CLECs from being able to easily audit the files. |
| DUF | cutover |
| Record Accuracy | FairPoint is not accurately capturing the amount of traffic that the CLECs are terminating to FairPoint. |
| Dispute Resolution | Fairpoint has no sense of urgency in resolving disputes or locating payments received but not posted |
| Invoice Timeliness | Electronic invoices often are not received in a timely manner. CLECs need to call Fairpoint to get electronic invoices issued or get paper copies |
| Loss of Functionality | disputes. FairPoint does not provide this functionality |
| Dispute Resolution | FairPoint does not accept the bill dispute form posted on its website. FairPoint requires the CLECs to file disputes using the Verizon form. Once disputes are filed, FairPoint provides the CLECs with a separate form indicating the status of the claim. This multiple form process requires the CLECs to manually compare the forms to determine the status of each claim submitted. Automatic batch id's are assigned by FairPoint per each dispute form filed. However, if several claim forms are filed at once, no indication of which batch id belongs to which claim filed is provided. An email and/or call to our FP billing contact/specialist is required to get this information. |

ŧ

| Topic area | Issue description |
|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Tag & Locate | The CLECs volume of "tag and locates" through the M&R department are significantly greater than they were with Verizon. This is resulting from installation orders not being worked properly (issue also addressed in Ordering & Provsioning). FairPoint never provides a solution to the CLECs chronic trouble reports and |
| Chronic Troubles Chronic Troubles | instead just keeps providing short term fixes. FairPoint has facility quality issues that are causing chronic troubles. About 10-15% of the trouble reports that FairPoint closes as no trouble found (N |
| Repair Quality | must be reopened. This is a higher percentage than the CLECs experienced with Verizon. |

्ष

8

| Issue description |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Reports are always late and there is no consistency in the reporting. Reports are also inaccurate. Some CLECs don't receive reports at all. |
| The LVR reports supplied by FairPoint are extremely inaccurate leaving the CLECs in the dark on how their customer accounts are going to appear in the phone books. SPOCs and help desk personnel are unfamiliar with directory listings processes |
| and systems. Minimal training on DL available (5 min. in a 3 hr. LNP class). |
| |

ŝ